

NSF-ITP-98-089
CERN-TH/98-298
hep-th/9809106

Aspects of QCD Dynamics from String Theory

Akikazu Hashimoto^a and Yaron Oz^b

^aInstitute for Theoretical Physics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

^bTheory Division, CERN
CH-1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland

Abstract

We study dynamical aspects of large N QCD₄ via supergravity on Anti de Sitter black hole geometry. We compute the mass spectrum, the topological susceptibility and the gluon condensate and make a comparison to lattice simulations. We present analogous results for QCD₃.

September 1998

1 Introduction

Maldacena [1] conjectured the existence of a duality between large N superconformal field theories and superstring or M theory on Anti de Sitter (AdS) backgrounds with R-R fluxes. This conjecture reveals interesting properties of the superconformal field theories, in particular in the strong coupling region. In [2] Witten proposed an extension of the duality to non-supersymmetric theories such as pure QCD. The idea was to heat the superconformal field theories and break the superconformal invariance. The AdS space is then replaced by the Schwarzschild geometry describing a black hole in the AdS space.

When the curvature of the space is small compared to the string scale and the Planck scale, supergravity provides an adequate effective description of string and M theory respectively. This description has been extensively studied recently. It exhibits qualitative agreements with pure QCD in three and four dimensions [3]. In particular confinement and mass gap are two of the important properties of the supergravity description [2]. This leads to the hope that we are at least describing a theory which is in the same universality class as QCD.

One can also perform quantitative computations via the supergravity description. For instance, the spectrum of the scalar glueball masses has been computed resulting in a surprising agreement with lattice simulations [4]. The supergravity limit of string theory is the limit where the string tension is infinite. This corresponds to the strong coupling limit of the gauge theory. The strong coupling expansion in the gauge theory is the α' expansion of string theory. It is therefore clear that a full solution of QCD requires a detailed knowledge of this expansion, which means an understanding of string theory with R-R fields on these backgrounds. Since supergravity contributes the leading term in this expansion it is important to have a detailed understanding of it. We will take this viewpoint in this paper and use the supergravity description to study various aspects of QCD. Note, however, that an important assumption that is made is that there is no phase transition as a function of the 't Hooft parameter $g_{YM}^2 N$.

The paper is organized as follows:

In section 2, we describe in detail the supergravity background and the conjectured correspondence to QCD₄.

In section 3 we compute glueball mass spectrum.

In section 4 we compute the topological susceptibility.

In section 5 we compute the gluon condensate.

In section 6 we make a detailed comparison with lattice and field theory results.

Section 7 is devoted to a discussion and conclusions where we also present analogous results for QCD₃.

2 QCD Via String Theory

The starting point for the construction of QCD₄ is the superconformal theory in six dimensions realized on N parallel coinciding M5-branes. Compactification of the theory on a circle of radius R_1 gives a five-dimensional theory whose low-energy effective theory is the maximally supersymmetric $SU(N)$ gauge theory with gauge coupling constant $g_5^2 = 2\pi R_1$. In order to describe QCD₄, one compactifies this theory further on another $\mathbf{S}^1$ of radius R_2 . The gauge coupling constant g_{YM} in four dimensions is given by $g_{YM}^2 = g_5^2/R_2 = 2\pi R_1/R_2$. In order to break supersymmetry, one imposes the anti-periodic boundary condition on the fermions around the second $\mathbf{S}^1$.

As conjectured in [1], the large N limit of the six-dimensional theory is M theory on $\text{AdS}_7 \times \mathbf{S}^4$. Upon compactification on $\mathbf{S}^1 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ and imposing the anti-periodic boundary conditions around the second $\mathbf{S}^1$, we find M theory to be on the black hole geometry [2]. Taking the large N limit while keeping the 't Hooft parameter $2\pi\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N$ finite requires taking $R_1 \ll R_2$. In this limit, M theory reduces to type IIA string theory and the M5 brane wrapping on $\mathbf{S}^1$ of radius R_1 becomes a D4 brane, and the large N limit of QCD₄ becomes string theory on a black hole geometry.

2.1 The Supergravity Background

The action for the eleven dimensional supergravity is ¹

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{11}^2} \int d^{11}x \sqrt{g} \left[R + \frac{1}{48} H^2 \right]. \quad (2.1)$$

The reduction of (2.1) to ten dimensions on a circle of period $\frac{\lambda}{NT}$ gives

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{g} \left[e^{-2\phi} \left(R + 4(\nabla\phi)^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} G^2 + \frac{1}{48} H^2 \right], \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\kappa_{10}^2 = (N/\lambda) T \kappa_{11}^2. \quad (2.3)$$

¹The $N = 1$ supergravity action in eleven dimensions also contains Chern-Simons terms and a boundary extrinsic curvature terms which we omitted.

The near horizon limit of the black M5-brane metric is given by [5, 6]

$$ds^2 = \frac{y^2}{L^2} \left[\left(1 - \frac{y_0^6}{y^6}\right) dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^5 dx_i^2 \right] + \frac{4L^2}{y^2} \left(1 - \frac{y_0^6}{y^6}\right)^{-1} dy^2 + L^2 d\Omega_4^2, \quad (2.4)$$

$$H = 3\sqrt{6}L^3 d\Omega_4 \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$L^9 = N^3 \frac{\kappa_{11}^2}{2^7 \pi^5}, \quad y_0 = \frac{4\pi L^2 T}{3}. \quad (2.6)$$

and $d\Omega_4$ is the volume form on the 4-sphere. Compactifying x^5 on a circle and using the M theory/Type IIA duality one gets the Type IIA background corresponding to a non extremal D4 brane with worldvolume coordinates $x^1, \dots, x^4, t$

$$ds^2 = \frac{y^3}{L^3} \left[\left(1 - \frac{y_0^6}{y^6}\right) dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^4 dx_i^2 \right] + \frac{4L}{y} \left(1 - \frac{y_0^6}{y^6}\right)^{-1} dy^2 + Ly d\Omega_4^2, \quad e^\phi = \left(\frac{y}{L}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (2.7)$$

where ϕ is the dilaton field.

2.2 Fluctuations

As will be discussed in the next subsection, in order to compute the correlation functions of QCD operators using supergravity/gauge theory correspondence, we need to examine the dynamics of small fluctuations of the supergravity fields. We will be interested in scalar operators of QCD₄. The relevant fields of Type IIA supergravity are the dilaton ϕ , the volume factor, and the time component of the RR 1-form C_0 . There will be a mixing between the type IIA dilaton and the volume factor which must be disentangled. A useful parameterization of fluctuations $f(x_1, y)$, $g(x_1, y)$, and $h(x_1, y)$, which in the language of eleven dimensional supergravity is appropriately diagonal, is

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & \left(1 - \frac{4}{5}g(x_1, y)\right) \sum_{i=0}^1 \dot{g}_{ii} dx^i dx^i + \left(1 - \frac{2}{5}f(x_1, y) - \frac{2}{5}g(x_1, y)\right) \sum_{i=2}^4 \dot{g}_{ii} dx^i dx^i \\ & + \left(1 + \frac{6}{5}f(x_1, y) - \frac{4}{5}g(x_1, y)\right) \dot{g}_{55} (dx^5 + C_i dx^i)^2 \\ & + \left(1 - \frac{4}{5}g(x_1, y)\right) \dot{g}_{yy} dy^2 + (1 + g(x_1, y)) \dot{g}_{\Omega\Omega} d\Omega^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where $\dot{g}$ is the background metric. We consider the case $C_0 = h(x_1, y)$ and $C_{i \neq 0} = 0$. Without loss of generality, $f(x_1, y)$, $g(x_1, y)$, and $h(x_1, y)$ are assumed to depend only on x_1 and y . In the language of Type IIA supergravity, these fluctuations are parameterized according to

$$ds^2 = \left(1 + \frac{3}{5}f(x_1, y) - \frac{6}{5}g(x_1, y)\right) \sum_{i=0}^1 \dot{g}_{ii} dx^i dx^i + \left(1 + \frac{1}{5}f(x_1, y) - \frac{6}{5}g(x_1, y)\right) \sum_{i=2}^4 \dot{g}_{ii} dx^i dx^i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left(1 + \frac{3}{5}f(x_1, y) - \frac{6}{5}g(x_1, y)\right) \dot{g}_{yy} dy^2 + \left(1 + \frac{3}{5}f(x_1, y) + \frac{3}{5}g(x_1, y)\right) \dot{g}_{\Omega\Omega} d\Omega^2 \\
e^\phi &= \left(1 + \frac{9}{10}f(x_1, y) - \frac{3}{5}g(x_1, y)\right) e^{\dot{\phi}}, \\
C_0 &= h(x_1, y).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

By directly substituting these fluctuations into the supergravity equations of motion,

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{12}H_{\mu\sigma\rho\lambda}H_\nu{}^{\sigma\rho\lambda} + \frac{1}{144}H^2g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

we see that they satisfy the equations ($r = y/y_0$)

$$\begin{aligned}
(r^4 - r^{-2})f''(r) + (7r^3 - r^{-3})f'(r) + \frac{9M^2}{4\pi^2T^2}f(r) &= 0, \\
(r^4 - r^{-2})g''(r) + (7r^3 - r^{-3})g'(r) + \left(\frac{9M^2}{4\pi^2T^2}g(r) - 72r^2\right) &= 0, \\
(r^4 - r^{-2})h''(r) + (7r^3 - 7r^{-3})h'(r) + \frac{9M^2}{4\pi T^2}h(r) &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

2.3 Field/Operator Correspondence

The string/gauge theory correspondence asserts that the string modes φ couple at the boundary to gauge invariant operators $\mathcal{O}$ of the gauge theory. The generating functional for the correlation function of $\mathcal{O}$ is the string partition function evaluated with specified boundary values φ_0 of the string fields. When the supergravity description is applicable we have

$$\langle e^{-\int d^4x \varphi_0(x) \mathcal{O}(x)} \rangle = e^{-I_{SG}(\varphi_0)}, \tag{2.12}$$

where I_{SG} is the supergravity action.

The supergravity fluctuations f, g, h couple to operators on the D-brane world volume in flat background. For small 't Hooft parameter, this coupling can be inferred from the form of the Born-Infeld action. These same operators, in the strong coupling limit, are described as “sources at infinity” in the dual AdS description.

The Born-Infeld Action describing the D4 brane worldvolume theory is given by :

$$I_{BI} = \text{Tr} \mathcal{T}_4 \int d^5x \left(e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\det(G_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} + G^{ij} \partial_\mu X_i \partial_\nu X_j)} + \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho\kappa} C_\mu \mathcal{F}_{\nu\sigma} \mathcal{F}_{\rho\kappa} \right), \tag{2.13}$$

where $i = 5 \dots 9$ and $\mathcal{T}_4$ is the D4 brane tension.

Dimensionally reducing along the t direction on a circle with period $1/T$ leads to

$$I_{BI} = \text{Tr} \frac{\mathcal{T}_4}{T} \int d^4x \left(e^{-\phi} \sqrt{G_{00}} \sqrt{\det(G_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} + G^{ij} \partial_\mu X_i \partial_\nu X_j)} + \frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{0\mu\nu\sigma\rho} C_0 \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\rho} \right), \tag{2.14}$$

where now, $i = 0, 5 \dots 9$. In order to restore the canonical dimensions of Yang-Mills fields, we rescale F and X :

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{T}^{-1}F, \quad X = \mathcal{T}^{-1}\Phi, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\mathcal{T}^{-1} = 2\pi l_s^2$.

Expanding the action (2.14) to quadratic order gives

$$I = \text{Tr} \int d^4x \left(\frac{\mathcal{T}_4}{4\mathcal{T}^2 T} e^{-\phi} \sqrt{G_{00}} \sqrt{\det G_{\mu\nu}} F^2 + \frac{\mathcal{T}_4}{8\mathcal{T}^2 T} \epsilon^{0\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} C_0 F_{\mu\nu} F_{\lambda\sigma} \right), \quad (2.16)$$

where we dropped the scalars since they are expected to decouple from the dynamics in the far infra-red. Using [7]

$$\mathcal{T}_p = g^{-1} (2\pi)^{(1-p)/2} \mathcal{T}^{(p+1)/2}, \quad (2.17)$$

we get

$$\frac{\mathcal{T}_4}{\mathcal{T}^2 T} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 g l_s T} = \frac{N}{2\pi\lambda}, \quad (2.18)$$

where in the last equality, we set $2\pi g_s l_s = (\lambda/N)T^{-1}$ which follows from M theory/Type IIA duality. Before substituting these fluctuations into (2.16), note that the metric fluctuation due to field $f(x_1, y)$ is polarized along $(3(dx^1)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^4 (dx^i)^2)$ which is not isotropic in the 1234 plane. One could however think of this as contribution from two pieces $(\sum_{i=1}^4 (dx^i)^2)$ and $(dx^1)^2$. The second term must then couple to $T_{11}(p_1)$ component of the stress-energy tensor which vanishes due to conservation law $p^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$. We will therefore think of fluctuation $f(x_1, y)$ as giving rise to a fluctuation of the metric of the form

$$ds^2 = \left(1 + \frac{1}{5} f(x_1, y) - \frac{6}{5} g(x_1, y) \right) \sum_{i=1}^4 \dot{g}_{ii} dx^i dx^i$$

along the 1234 plane. Substituting these fluctuations into (2.16), we find that $f(x_1, y)$, $g(x_1, y)$, and $h(x_1, y)$ couple to the world volume operators via

$$I = \text{Tr} \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{N}{2\pi\lambda} \right) \left(1 - \frac{3}{5} f(x_1, y) \right) F^2 + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{N}{2\pi\lambda} \right) h(x_1, y) F_{\mu\nu} F_{\lambda\sigma} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \right). \quad (2.19)$$

Note that all dependence on $g(x_1, y)$ canceled out to this order. Indeed, the AdS/SCFT correspondence in six dimensions imply in view of (2.11) that $g(x_1, y)$ couples in six dimensions to an operator of dimension 12 in the (0,2) theory. This operator is H^4 where $H = dB$, which reduces to a dimension 8 operator in the four dimensional theory in the low energy limit. Comparing (2.19) to the Yang-Mills action

$$I_{YM} = \text{Tr} \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{4g_{YM}^2} F^2 + \frac{\theta}{16\pi^2} F \tilde{F} \right), \quad (2.20)$$

	J^{PC}	1	2	3	4	5	6
$f(x_1, y)$	0^{++}	9.85	15.6	21.2	26.7	32.2	37.7
$g(x_1, y)$	0^{++}	22.5	28.8	34.9	40.7	46.5	52.1
$h(x_1, y)$	0^{-+}	11.8	17.8	23.5	34.6	34.6	40.1

Table 1: Mass spectrum in units of T for 0^{++} and 0^{-+} glueballs corresponding to normalizable modes of fluctuations $f(x_1, y)$, $g(x_1, y)$, and $h(x_1, y)$.

we get the relations

$$g_{YM}^2 = \frac{2\pi\lambda}{N}, \quad \frac{\theta}{16\pi^2} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{N}{2\pi\lambda} \right) h(x_1, y). \quad (2.21)$$

The supergravity fields $f(x_1, y)$ and $h(x_1, y)$ couple to the operators

$$\mathcal{O}_4 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{N}{2\pi\lambda} \right) \frac{3}{5} \text{Tr} F^2, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_4 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{N}{2\pi\lambda} \right) \text{Tr} F \tilde{F}. \quad (2.22)$$

3 Mass Spectrum

The glueball masses in QCD can be obtained by computing correlation functions of gauge invariant local operators or the Wilson loops, and looking for particle poles. Following [8, 9] correlation functions of local operators are related at large N and large $g_{YM}^2 N$ to tree level amplitudes of supergravity. We will consider the two point functions of the operators (2.22). The correlation function of $\mathcal{O}$ takes the form

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x) \mathcal{O}(y) \rangle = \sum_i c_i e^{-M_i |x-y|}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ refers to (2.22), and M_i are the corresponding glueball masses. Therefore the spectrum of scalar glueballs² 0^{++} and 0^{-+} can be obtained by finding the normalizable solutions to (2.11) for $f(x_1, y)$ and $h(x_1, y)$ respectively. The results are summarized in table 1, where the masses are given in units of T . We also included the spectrum associated with the field $g(x_1, y)$.

We can compare the ratio of masses of the lowest glueball states 0^{-+} and 0^{++} with the lattice results [10]

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{M_{0^{-+}}}{M_{0^{++}}} \right)_{\text{supergravity}} &= 1.20 \\ \left(\frac{M_{0^{-+}}}{M_{0^{++}}} \right)_{\text{lattice}} &= 1.36 \pm 0.32, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

²In the following we will use the notation J^{PC} for the glueballs, where J is the glueball spin, and P , C refer to the parity and charge conjugation quantum numbers respectively.

and the results are in good agreement.

The spectrum of masses related to $g(x_1, y)$ is higher than the spectrum of masses related to $f(x_1, y)$ since it corresponds to a higher dimensional operator. Unlike QCD where different operators with the same quantum numbers give the same mass spectrum, in the supergravity limit the corresponding mass spectrum is different. Since a $\text{Tr}F^4$ operator couples on the worldvolume theory to both f and g modes we expect that in the QCD limit the spectrum of masses associated with g will decouple in order for $\text{Tr}F^2$ and $\text{Tr}F^4$ to give the same spectrum.

Let us make some comments on the results. In comparison to [4] the masses of 0^{-+} are lower. This is due to the fact that in [4] the computation of the spectrum of 0^{-+} was done using the RR 3-form which couples to an operator with 0^{-+} quantum numbers but of higher dimension than $\text{Tr}F\tilde{F}$. An example of that is the 0^{++} spectrum derived above using the f and g modes. Also, the masses of 0^{++} are not in agreement with [4]. This is because the supergravity equation used in [4] to compute the 0^{++} mass spectrum does not precisely correspond to $\text{Tr}F^2$ which is the lowest dimension glueball operator with 0^{++} quantum numbers.

Finally, in order to compute the coefficients c_i in (3.1) one has to evaluate the action for the supergravity fields requiring that they approach a boundary configuration at infinity. This is a procedure that can be done numerically, but will not be reported here.

4 Topological Susceptibility

The topological susceptibility χ_t is defined by

$$\chi_t = \frac{1}{(16\pi^2)^2} \int d^4x \langle \text{Tr}F\tilde{F}(x) \text{Tr}F\tilde{F}(0) \rangle. \quad (4.1)$$

The topological susceptibility measures the fluctuations of the topological charge of the vacuum. At large N the Witten-Veneziano formula [11, 12] relates the mass $m_{\eta'}$ to the topological susceptibility of Yang-Mills theory without quarks

$$m_{\eta'}^2 = \frac{4N_f}{f_\pi^2} \chi_t. \quad (4.2)$$

In this section we will compute the topological susceptibility in the supergravity description. The discussion is closely related to the study of the θ dependence of the vacuum energy in [13]. Working in Type IIA supergravity, the action of RR 1-form C_μ is given by

$$I = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu C_\nu - \partial_\nu C_\mu) (\partial_{\mu'} C_{\nu'} - \partial_{\nu'} C_{\mu'}) g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'}. \quad (4.3)$$

For the fluctuation $C_t = h(x_1, y)$, the action simplifies to

$$I = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu h(x_1, y)) (\partial_{\mu'} h(x_1, y)) g^{\mu\mu'} g^{tt}. \quad (4.4)$$

The solution to the corresponding equation of motion (2.11) which has dependence only in the y coordinate is

$$h(y) = h^\infty \left(1 - \frac{y_0^6}{y^6}\right). \quad (4.5)$$

Plugging this into the action gives

$$I = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{2} g^{yy} g^{tt} (\partial_y h(y))^2 = h^{\infty 2} \frac{2\pi^2 y_0^6}{\kappa_{10}^2 L^3 T} dx^4. \quad (4.6)$$

Using (2.6), (2.3), dropping the volume factor and differentiating twice with respect to h^∞ we get

$$\int dx^4 \langle \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_4(x) \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_4(0) \rangle = \frac{128\lambda N^2 \pi^3 T^4}{729}. \quad (4.7)$$

Recalling (2.22) and (4.1) we get the topological susceptibility

$$\chi_t = \int dx^4 \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi N} \right)^2 \langle \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_4(x) \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_4(0) \rangle = \frac{32\lambda^3 \pi T^4}{729}. \quad (4.8)$$

5 Gluon condensate

The gluon condensate $\langle \frac{1}{4g_{YM}^2} F^2 \rangle$ plays an important role in the applications of Wilson OPE to the study of strong coupling phenomena in QCD via the condensate expansion. In this section we will compute the gluon condensate in the supergravity description.

According to the supergravity/gauge theory correspondence (2.12), the one point function of an operator corresponds to the first variation of the supergravity action. This quantity usually vanishes identically by the equation of motion. In asymptotically anti de Sitter spaces, however, there is a subtlety. The first variation is only required to vanish up to a total derivative term. Since asymptotically anti de Sitter space has a time-like boundary at infinity, one must carefully examine the possible boundary contribution. Indeed, the one point function of F^2 operator, corresponding to first variation of the action with respect to the field $f(x_1, y)$, will turn out to give a non-vanishing contribution.

This result can be anticipated without any detailed computation. The non-extremal M5-branes has non-vanishing action density I (which is related to free energy F by $I = T^{-1}F$)

$$I = 2^6 3^{-7} \pi^3 N^3 V_5 T^5 = \frac{64}{2187} \pi^3 N^3 V_5 T^5. \quad (5.1)$$

When the M5-brane is wrapped on a circle of period $(\lambda/N)T^{-1}$, we expect the corresponding free energy to be given simply by making the substitution $V_5 \rightarrow V_4 T^{-1} \lambda/N$ which leads to an expression for the free energy of non-extremal D4-branes:

$$I = 2^6 3^{-7} \pi^3 \lambda N^2 V_4 T^4 = \frac{64}{2187} \pi^3 \lambda N^2 V_4 T^4. \quad (5.2)$$

The gluon condensate operator can be gotten by acting on the Born-Infeld action with $\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}$. This suggests that one can act on I with the same operator to infer the value of $\langle \frac{1}{4g_{YM}^2} F^2 \rangle$ in the dual formulation:

$$\langle \frac{1}{4g_{YM}^2} F^2 \rangle = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} I = \frac{64}{2187} \pi^3 \lambda N^2 V_4 T^4. \quad (5.3)$$

6 Comparison

We summarize the results obtained using the supergravity description:³

$$\begin{aligned} M_{0++} &= 9.86T \\ M_{0-+} &= 11.8T \\ \text{QCD string tension} &= \frac{16\pi^2}{27} \lambda T^2 \\ \chi_t &= \frac{32\pi}{729} \lambda^3 T^4 \\ \langle \frac{1}{4g_{YM}^2} \text{Tr} F^2(0) \rangle &= \frac{64\pi^3}{2187} N^2 \lambda T^4. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

These results are the leading in the strong coupling expansion of the gauge theory.

The lattice QCD results are [10]⁴

$$\begin{aligned} M_{0++} &\simeq 1610 \text{ MeV} \\ M_{0-+} &\simeq 2190 \text{ MeV} \\ \text{QCD string tension} &\simeq (440 \text{ MeV})^2 \\ \chi_t &\simeq (180 \text{ MeV})^4 \\ \langle \frac{1}{4g_{YM}^2} \text{Tr} F^2(0) \rangle &\simeq \frac{N^2}{\lambda} (400 \text{ MeV})^4. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

³For completeness we quote also the result for the QCD tension [15]. This result is corrected by a factor of π^3 compared to a previous version of this paper due to a numerical error in a previous version of [15].

⁴The lattice results have systematic and statistical errors that we do not quote.

The entry for the gluon condensate is derived by scaling the $N = 3$ result of [16] by a factor of $N/3$.

$$\frac{N}{3} 0.1 \text{GeV}^4 = \langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{2\pi^2} F^2 \rangle. \quad (6.3)$$

Note that plugging the phenomenological values $N_f = 3, N = 3, m_{\eta'} \sim 1 \text{ GeV}, f_\pi \sim 0.1 \text{ GeV}$ in (4.2) leads to a prediction $\chi_t \sim (180 \text{ MeV})^4$, in agreement with the lattice simulation.

The supergravity results depend on two parameters, λ and T . This should be thought of as the leading $1/\lambda$ asymptotic behavior of the full string theory expression $(F(\lambda)T)^d$ where d is the dimension of the observable. Ideally, the comparison with the lattice QCD result should be made in the limit where λ is taken to be small and T is taken to be large. In this range of parameters, one can trust perturbative QCD, which tells us that $F(\lambda)$ goes as

$$F(\lambda) = C e^{-\frac{12\pi}{11\lambda}}. \quad (6.4)$$

where C is some numerical coefficient. The genuine QCD data is encoded in the ratio of this numerical coefficient C between different observables. Unfortunately, this is precisely the information that is not accessible via the supergravity approximation. In the absence of fully string theoretic formulation of this system that allows one to probe the small λ region, one must contend oneself with qualitative comparisons. To facilitate such a comparison at a qualitative level, it is useful to go to the so-called “correspondence point” in the λ - T parameter space. This is the point where dual descriptions of the theory crosses over from one to the other. As such, one can not trust neither description at a quantitative level, but one expects the results to match up to numerical factors of order one. One can estimate the cross-over point based on natural scale of our problem: $\lambda \approx \frac{12\pi}{11}$ and $T \approx \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \approx 200 \text{ MeV}$. With this choice of λ and T , the ratio of observables in units of energy comes out as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M_{0^{++}}^{\text{SUGRA}}}{M_{0^{++}}^{\text{Lattice}}} &= 1.2 \\ \frac{M_{0^{-+}}^{\text{SUGRA}}}{M_{0^{-+}}^{\text{Lattice}}} &= 1.1 \\ \left(\frac{(\text{QCD string tension})^{\text{SUGRA}}}{(\text{QCD string tension})^{\text{Lattice}}} \right)^{1/2} &= 2.0 \\ \left(\frac{\chi_t^{\text{SUGRA}}}{\chi_t^{\text{Lattice}}} \right)^{1/4} &= 1.7 \\ \left(\frac{(\text{Gluon condensate})^{\text{SUGRA}}}{(\text{Gluon condensate})^{\text{Lattice}}} \right)^{1/4} &= 0.9 \end{aligned}$$

It is encouraging to find that these ratio are indeed of order unity ⁵.

It is curious to note for comparison the known results in strong coupling lattice QCD [17]:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{0++} &= -4 \log(g_{YM}^2 N) a^{-1} \\ \text{QCD string tension} &= \log(g_{YM}^2 N) a^{-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

They reflect the fact that the α' expansion is not the same as the lattice strong coupling expansion. In particular, while in the supergravity description the ratio of M_{0++} to the QCD string tension goes to zero, it diverges in the strong coupling lattice QCD.

7 Summary and Discussion

In this paper we computed various physical quantities which are of importance in QCD dynamics. The computations were performed in the framework of supergravity. Since the supergravity description is believed to provide the leading contribution in the strong coupling expansion of the gauge theory, our results should be viewed as a first step in understanding the QCD dynamics via the string/gauge theory correspondence. Clearly, a complete solution requires a detailed knowledge of the string dynamics with R-R background.

The dual supergravity description corresponds to taking the bare coupling to infinity. This is the opposite limit to continuum QCD where the bare coupling is taken to zero. Therefore it is not possible, for instance, to exhibit asymptotic freedom via the supergravity description. In analogy with strong coupling lattice QCD, it is therefore far from clear what properties of continuum QCD should be captured by supergravity. The supergravity description includes also Kaluza-Klein modes that do not have corresponding degrees of freedom in QCD. They reflect the physics of the higher dimensions and should decouple in the small bare coupling limit. Since the background is singular in this limit, their decoupling is a subtle issue.

We can repeat the analysis for QCD₃. Here the procedure is to compactify on a circle the D3 branes of type IIB string theory and introduce anti periodic boundary conditions

⁵The numerical value for the ratio of the QCD string tensions is modified compared to a previous version of this paper in view of the change in the numerical value of the QCD string tension in (6.1).

for the fermions [2]. We find⁶

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{0^{++}} &= 10.7T \\
\text{QCD string tension} &= \pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} g_{YM3}^2 N T^3} \\
\langle \frac{1}{4g_{YM3}^2} \text{Tr} F^2(0) \rangle &= \frac{\pi^2}{8} N^2 T^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

The gluon condensate in QCD₃ has not been computed on the lattice yet.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to O. Aharony, S. Carroll, C. Csaki, D. Gross, G. Horowitz, H. Ooguri, A. Peet, J. Polchinski, M. Srednicki, M. Teper, J. Terning, A. Tseytlin, and E. Witten for useful discussions. The work of AH was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY94-07194.

References

- [1] J. Maldacena, “The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231, [hep-th/9711200](#).
- [2] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 505, [hep-th/9803131](#).
- [3] D. J. Gross and H. Ooguri, “Aspects of large N gauge theory dynamics as seen by string theory,” *Phys. Rev.* **D58** (1998) 106002, [hep-th/9805129](#).
- [4] C. Csaki, H. Ooguri, Y. Oz, and J. Terning, “Glueball mass spectrum from supergravity,” *JHEP* **01** (1999) 017, [hep-th/9806021](#).
- [5] M. J. Duff, H. Lu, and C. N. Pope, “The Black branes of M theory,” *Phys. Lett.* **B382** (1996) 73–80, [hep-th/9604052](#).
- [6] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. A. Tseytlin, “Coupling constant dependence in the thermodynamics of N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B534** (1998) 202, [hep-th/9805156](#).

⁶For completeness we quote also the results for the 0^{++} mass [4] and the QCD tension [15]. The spectrum in [4] was quoted in units of b , which in AdS _{$n+1$} Schwarzschild space, is related to T by $b = 4\pi T/n$.

- [7] I. Chepelev and A. A. Tseytlin, “Long distance interactions of D-brane bound states and longitudinal five-brane in M(atric) theory,” *Phys. Rev.* **D56** (1997) 3672–3685, [hep-th/9704127](#).
- [8] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory,” *Phys. Lett.* **B428** (1998) 105, [hep-th/9802109](#).
- [9] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253, [hep-th/9802150](#).
- [10] M. J. Teper, “Physics from the lattice: Glueballs in QCD: Topology: SU(N) for all N,” [hep-lat/9711011](#).
- [11] E. Witten, “Current Algebra Theorems for the $U(1)$ Goldstone Boson,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B156** (1979) 269.
- [12] G. Veneziano, “ $U(1)$ Without Instantons,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B159** (1979) 213–224.
- [13] E. Witten, “Theta dependence in the large N limit of four-dimensional gauge theories,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 2862, [hep-th/9807109](#).
- [14] S. W. Hawking and D. N. Page, “Thermodynamics of black holes in anti-de sitter space,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87** (1983) 577.
- [15] A. Brandhuber, N. Itzhaki, J. Sonnenschein, and S. Yankielowicz, “Wilson loops, confinement, and phase transitions in large N gauge theories from supergravity,” *JHEP* **06** (1998) 001, [hep-th/9803263](#).
- [16] M. Campostrini, A. D. Giacomo, and Y. Gunduc, “Gluon Condensation in $SU(3)$ Lattice Gauge Theory,” *Phys. Lett.* **B225** (1989) 393.
- [17] M. Creutz, *Quarks, Gluons and Lattices*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1983.